```
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
1
 2
                            DISTRICT OF NEVADA
 3
                             LAS VEGAS, NEVADA
 4
      In re: DON GROVER WHITE,
                                        ) E-Filed: 11/23/10
                                        )
 5
                Debtor.
                                        )
                                          Case No.
                                         BK-S-10-19402-LBR
                                           Chapter 11
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
                         TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
11
                                     OF
12
                    MOTION TO SET CONFIRMATION HEARING,
                             MOTION FOR ORDER,
13
                           (1), APPROVING ADEQUACY
            OF DEBTOR DON GROVER WHITE'S DISCLOSURE STATEMENT;
                   (2), SETTING DEADLINES FOR BALLOTING;
14
        (2) SETTING DEADLINES FOR FILING OPPOSITION TO CONFIRMATION
15
                      OF THE PLAN OF REORGANIZATION;
                     AND, (4), SETTING A HEARING DATE
16
                      ON THE PLAN OF REORGANIZATION,
                                     AND
              MOTION TO SET DEADLINES FOR BALLOTING, NO. 103
17
                                 VOLUME 1
18
                   BEFORE THE HONORABLE LINDA B. RIEGLE
                      UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
19
                         Monday, November 8, 2010
20
                                  2:30 p.m.
2.1
22
23
      Court Recorder: Andrea Mendoza
2.4
      Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording;
      transcript produced by transcription service.
25
```

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the Debtor:	JAMES E. TILL, ESQ. The Lobel Firm, LLP
3		840 Newport Center Drive Suite 750
4		Newport Beach, California 92660
5	For the Official Committee of	MICHAEL J. WALSH, ESQ. Larson & Stephens, LLC
6	Unsecured Creditors:	
7		Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (Proposed)
8		RICHARD M. LORENZEN, ESQ.
9		Perkins, Coie, Brown & Bain, P.A. 2901 North Central Avenue
10		Suite 2000 Phoenix, Arizona 85012
11		(Proposed)
12 13	Also Present:	SULLIVAN RICHARDSON Chief Financial Officer
13		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
(Court convened at 02:34:56 p.m.)
 1
                THE COURT: Be seated.
 2
 3
           (Colloquy not on the record.)
                THE COURT: All right. White.
 5
           Appearances, please.
                MR. TILL: Good afternoon, your Honor. James Till on
 6
      behalf of the debtor, Don Grover White.
                MR. WALSH: Good afternoon, your Honor.
 8
 9
      Michael Walsh, local counsel on behalf of the proposed counsel
      for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors.
10
11
                THE COURT: Okay.
                MR. LORENZEN: Hello, Judge. Richard Lorenzen,
12
      Perkins, Coie, Brown & Bain, proposed counsel for the
13
14
      committee.
           Your Honor, we filed a verified petition with my name and
15
16
      designation from local counsel last week, and I would like to
17
      speak today if that's permissible.
                THE COURT: Yeah. That's fine.
18
19
                MR. LORENZEN: Thank you, your Honor.
                THE COURT: Um-h'm. Okay. So let's go ahead, then.
20
21
      Where are we with the disclosure statement?
2.2
                MR. TILL: Yeah. Good afternoon, your Honor. We're
23
      here today for a hearing to approve the adequacy of the
      debtor's disclosure statement, although I'd be remiss if I
24
25
      didn't mention -- or I'm sure the Court is aware -- that on
```

```
4
```

```
Friday on the eve of this hearing the committee filed a motion
 1
      to appoint an examiner with a hearing date that's scheduled for
 2
      December 8th.
           So to the extent that your Honor would like me to address
 5
      that in context --
                THE COURT: Well, shouldn't we --
 6
                MR. TILL: -- with --
                THE COURT: Well, I do see that you haven't filed one
 8
 9
      2015.3 statement.
                MR. TILL: I'm sorry?
10
11
                THE COURT: No. You have not filed one 2015.3
12
      statement. In other words, the rules require you to file a
      report in which every entity the debtor owns over the
13
      20 percent, and you haven't filed one of them.
14
15
           Doesn't the debtor still own a number of entities, more
16
      than 20 percent of a number of entities?
17
                MR. TILL: He does, and they were listed. I thought
18
      we --
                THE COURT: Well, I understand, but read Rule 2015.3.
19
20
                MR. TILL: Okay.
                THE COURT: Do you have it with you?
21
2.2
                MR. TILL: I do not, your Honor.
23
                THE COURT: Okay. 2015.3 says, "In a Chapter 11
24
      case, the debtor in possession shall file periodic financial
25
      reports of the value, operations, and profitability of each
```

2.2

entity that is not a publicly-traded corporation or a debtor and to which the estate holds a substantial or controlling interest.

The report shall be prepared as prescribed by the appropriate official form and shall be based upon the most recent information reasonably available.

The first report shall be filed no later than seven days after the first date set for the meeting of creditors and no less than every six months thereafter," and we haven't had our six months.

But, "For purposes of this rule, the entity in which the estate controls or owns at least 20-percent interest shall be presumed to be an entity in which the estate has a substantial or controlling interest."

MR. TILL: Your Honor, I am aware of that rule, and we had discussions early on in the case when we were completing the 7-day package and the 14-day package for the U.S. Trustee's Office.

And we inquired as to that rule and whether we should undertake proceeding to fill out, essentially, monthly operating reports for each of those entities given the fact that they really have no value.

And the U.S. Trustee acknowledged the rule, and we asked if it's followed if anything is given particularly in this type of situation.

```
And they just said all they would do is acknowledge the
 1
      rule, and they didn't say that they would require -- they did
 2
      not require it --
                THE COURT: Well --
 5
                MR. TILL: -- for --
                THE COURT: -- but it's not their rule.
 6
                MR. TILL: I understand that, your Honor.
                THE COURT: It's the court's rule. Nobody asked me.
 8
 9
                MR. TILL: I understand, your Honor.
                THE COURT: And this is a case in which we've got all
10
11
      these entities, and that's part of the examiner's questions.
12
      If you had filed those reports, maybe they wouldn't now be
      asking for an examiner.
13
                MR. TILL: Your Honor, if I may, we provided
14
      background information and financial information for all of
15
16
      those entities.
17
           Many of the entities don't have projects that have any
18
      value and/or there aren't even financials prepared for those
      entities.
19
           If I might step back just to give a little bit more
20
      context to this case, Mr. White is a homebuilder. He's been in
21
2.2
      the business for over 20 years.
           At the height of the market, he had approximately
23
      16 projects in three different states, eight in Nevada, two in
24
25
      Arizona, and six in Washington.
```

```
As of today, there are only three operating businesses,
1
      and those are entities that are in Washington state, but the
 2
      others have either been foreclosed or shut down. There are no
      assets.
 5
           The projects, the dirt that represents those projects --
                THE COURT: Well, that's what you say now, but where
 6
      do we have that anyplace?
                MR. TILL: It --
 8
9
                THE COURT: The disclosure statement doesn't even
10
      clearly say that.
11
                MR. TILL: Your Honor, as amended, we believe it
      does, and that information was also provided informally to the
12
      committee over the last -- well, since September 7th, we have
13
14
      been providing information to the committee.
15
                THE COURT: Well, what about the information on the
16
      trust? Did you do the 2015.3 on the trust? There's no 2015.3
17
      statements done at all. You've done the monthly reports, but
18
      no 2015s.
                MR. TILL: Right. I believe BAW is referenced in
19
      those monthly operating reports.
20
                THE COURT: Pardon?
21
2.2
                MR. TILL: But I don't know if we did a separate --
23
                THE COURT: You did not do a separate one. I looked.
                MR. RICHARDSON: We gave all -- as of June 30, we
24
25
      gave all June 30 financials including BAW to the committee.
```

```
THE COURT: But it wasn't filed with the court.
1
           Can you make your appearance, please --
 2
 3
                MR. TILL: This is --
                THE COURT: -- for the record. He needs to state his
 4
 5
      name --
                MR. TILL: Oh.
 6
                THE COURT: -- for the record up in the microphone.
                MR. RICHARDSON: My name is Sullivan Richardson. I'm
 8
9
      Mr. White's chief financial officer, and we have provided the
      committee the June 30 financials on all of the entities at
10
11
      their request.
                THE COURT: Okay. But it's not on file.
12
                MR. TILL: With the court?
13
14
                THE COURT: Right.
                MR. RICHARDSON: Not with the court, but we provided
15
16
      the committee some, so --
17
                THE COURT: I mean, this report is useless for
      telling us what these other entities have or don't have.
18
19
           (Colloquy not on the record.)
                THE COURT: I mean, I recognize it's a -- well, the
20
      rule has been in effect I think a year now, and I certainly
21
2.2
      understand that format may not fit all.
23
           But, you know, if you don't like it, then come to the
24
      Court and ask to modify it. Don't just don't do it especially
25
      in a case like this where this is a substantial issue. Why
```

```
shouldn't I defer this until after the hearing on the examiner?
1
                MR. TILL: Your Honor, typically, you'll see the
 2
 3
      debtor dragging its feet during the bankruptcy process or you
      can see that, and we feel that this is an instance where we
 5
      really want to proceed to confirmation as quickly as possible.
 6
           We believe that the appointment of an examiner is
      inappropriate and improvident in these circumstances. This
 8
      isn't a large corporate case. The transactions are not that
9
      complex.
10
                THE COURT: Well, you got 80,000,000 in unsecured
11
      debt.
12
                MR. TILL: Your Honor, it's all personal. It all
      originates --
13
14
                THE COURT: I understand.
                MR. TILL: -- with --
15
16
                THE COURT: But 80,000,000 is 80,000,000. I mean,
17
      I'm sorry. 80,000,000 is 80,000,000. I understand what it
18
      arose from, but it arose from a business. He guaranteed it,
      but it's 80,000,000.
19
                MR. TILL: I understand that, your Honor. And if I
20
      may, the examiner -- having an examiner step in at this point
21
22
      is just going to add another layer of administrative costs and
23
      delay to this case. And given --
24
                THE COURT: Well --
25
                MR. TILL: -- where we --
```

```
THE COURT: -- unsecureds aren't getting anything,
 1
      anyway, so what difference --
 2
 3
                MR. TILL: Well, they're --
                THE COURT: -- does it make?
 5
                MR. TILL: Your Honor, we're proposing to pay them
      the value in the estate over the course of the plan.
 6
                THE COURT: 2.4 percent --
                UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's all there is.
 8
 9
                THE COURT: -- which they get on liquidation, right?
                MR. TILL: Without interest.
10
11
                THE COURT: Right.
                MR. TILL: And the potential for an upside if in a
12
      plan.
13
                THE COURT: I mean, I don't see any reason why we
14
      need to rush this through. You tried to rush this through in
15
16
      the beginning, and I said no because I wanted a committee.
17
      There's --
18
                MR. TILL: Correct.
                THE COURT: There's no business -- there's no need to
19
      rush this through especially if the committee doesn't want to
20
21
      rush it through. Let me hear what the committee has to say.
2.2
           Any comments from the committee?
                MR. LORENZEN: Yes, your Honor. We don't want to
23
24
      rush this through.
25
           (Colloquy not on the record.)
```

```
MR. LORENZEN: The committee members have received
 1
      hundreds of pages of documents, but it's very, very complicated
 2
      or it appears that it may be very, very complicated, the inner
      machinations of transfers between and among the trusts, the
 5
      debtor, and the various businesses.
           And the people on the committee are not financial analysts
 6
      or accountants, and they feel a duty, understandably, to get
      somebody, an independent examiner, or falling short of that
 8
 9
      independent financial professionals --
10
                THE COURT: Oh, have you thought about --
11
                MR. LORENZEN: -- to look at this.
12
                THE COURT: -- retaining a --
                MR. LORENZEN: We're looking for people who could --
13
                THE COURT: Besides an examiner and the committee
14
      retaining an expert.
15
16
                MR. LORENZEN: Yes. And we're exploring that in
17
      conjunction with the filing of the motion for examiner to see
18
      if there's an intermediate type of ground that we could find
      because we don't want to run up expenses for this estate.
19
           But they do feel duty-bound as representatives of the
20
      unsecured creditors to dig in here in a professional manner and
21
2.2
      find out what's beneath the surface.
           And so we think that it should -- I mean, the disclosure
23
24
      statement as it currently stands, certainly, because of timing
25
      doesn't and couldn't reference the filing of the motion for the
```

```
1
      examiner.
           And we're either I think going to have an examiner under
 2
 3
      the mandatory appointment provision or we're going to strike a
      deal to get an independent professional, and the findings of
 5
      that person are going to be relevant to the disclosures in our
      view, your Honor.
6
                THE COURT: Okay. And I guess, you know -- I'm
      sorry. The person that just spoke -- I don't see any retention
 8
9
      of a financial expert by the debtor, so is the debtor paying,
10
      Mr. -- is it Richards (sic)? I'm sorry.
11
                MR. RICHARDSON: Richardson.
12
                THE COURT: Sorry?
                MR. RICHARDSON: What was your question?
13
                THE COURT: What was your name again? I'm sorry.
14
                MR. RICHARDSON: Richardson.
15
16
                THE COURT: Richardson.
17
           So how is Mr. Richardson the financial adviser of the
18
      debtor? I don't see any application for employment.
19
                MR. TILL: He is not the CFO for the debtor.
                MR. RICHARDSON: Not for the debtor. For the
20
21
      companies.
2.2
                THE COURT: What companies? I mean, the very
23
      companies that we don't know about in here, I mean --
2.4
                MR. TILL: No. The --
25
                THE COURT: -- the companies that aren't worth
```

```
anything?
1
 2
                MR. TILL: The companies that -- the three companies
 3
      that are still operating projects in Washington.
                THE COURT: Well, we certainly --
 5
                MR. TILL: Your --
                THE COURT: -- don't have much information about the
 6
      profitability of those.
 8
                MR. TILL: Of --
9
                THE COURT: Well, I'm not going to approve the
10
      disclosure statement today. I think it's too early. I'll
11
      continue this 'til the December 8th date.
                MR. RICHARDSON: Your Honor --
12
                THE COURT: I really don't need to hear anything
13
      more. I will trust what you're telling me. That you relied on
14
15
      the U.S. Trustee saying you don't need to file those reports,
16
      but I think --
17
                MR. TILL: Well --
18
                THE COURT: -- you do.
19
                MR. TILL: -- your Honor, they didn't. I want to be
      clear. They did not say you don't need to provide them. We
20
21
      inquired whether anyone does for entities like that in this
2.2
      circumstance and in this locale, and they said the rule is what
      the rule is, but they don't require them.
23
2.4
                THE COURT: Okay.
25
                MR. TILL: And we didn't because there is no --
```

```
there's essentially no assets. There's de minimis value in
 1
 2
      those entities.
                THE COURT: Well --
                MR. TILL: We didn't want --
 5
                THE COURT: Well, we're paying Mr. Richardson
      somehow. We're paying him from some company, so there are some
 6
      companies that obviously have something in them.
 8
                MR. RICHARDSON: Yes. It's disclosed in the
 9
      disclosure statement that Adaven Construction Corporation is
10
      providing accounting services. It's the only company that
11
      still has a de minimis value.
           But, your Honor, I'd also like to state that the creditors
12
      in their files did a substantial underwriting of Mr. White.
13
14
      They understand the whole structure.
           They've looked at the company and Mr. White for years, and
15
16
      so it's a little disingenuous for them to say it's too
17
      confusing for them --
18
                THE COURT: Well, I --
                MR. RICHARDSON: -- to --
19
                THE COURT: That does surprise me. I mean, you don't
20
      get $80,000,000 in guarantees unless you've dealt with some of
21
2.2
      these lenders, but I certainly don't get a good sense of what
23
      happened other than the business failed.
24
           I mean, it's certainly better than it was, but this
25
      disclosure statement just doesn't tell me enough, so we'll
```

```
continue it 'til the -- I won't disapprove it. I'll just
1
 2
      continue it 'til December 8th.
 3
           Is that the date you said you had for your hearing?
                MR. LORENZEN: Yes, your Honor.
 4
 5
                THE COURT:
                           Okay.
                THE CLERK: At 2:00 o'clock.
 6
 7
                THE COURT: At 3:00 o'clock?
                THE CLERK: 2:00.
 8
9
                THE COURT: 2:00. Okay.
10
                MR. TILL: Your Honor --
                THE COURT: And if you could file at least one 2015
11
12
      by then with it --
13
                MR. TILL:
                           Okay.
14
                THE COURT: -- for each of the entities.
15
                MR. TILL: Okay. Your Honor, we would believe that
16
      -- we believe it's beneficial to meet with the committee.
17
      We've been --
18
                THE COURT: Sure.
19
                MR. TILL: -- trying.
20
                THE COURT: Of course. But you guys can do that
      without me. I mean, that's what --
21
22
                MR. TILL: We --
23
                THE COURT: -- you're all supposed to do.
2.4
                MR. TILL: Right. We've been trying, your Honor.
25
                THE COURT: And I don't know why the committee
```

```
hasn't. Maybe it's because they just now were able to engage
 1
      counsel.
 2
           And, you see, part of the problem was when you guys came
      running in here the first day wanting confirmation right away,
 5
      you kind of cut off your nose to spite your face because you
      never had a chance for the committee to get formed and have
 6
      some input.
           You don't want me as the attorney for the creditors
 8
 9
      committee. You want an attorney for the creditors
      committee.
10
11
           So when you come rushing in here and demanding
      confirmation that first day, you really did yourself a
12
      disservice, so okay.
13
           So we'll continue this to December 8th, and I would hope
14
      that you all get together. I don't think a settlement
15
16
      conference works. I think you should all talk together first.
17
           If somehow a settlement conference works -- they're hard
18
      in these Chapter 11s, but that's certainly something you might
      contemplate.
19
                MR. LORENZEN: We also want to communicate with them,
20
      and we've been working on that.
21
2.2
                THE COURT: Okay. So make sure you do.
23
                MR. TILL: Okay.
                THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
24
25
                MR. TILL: Thank you, your Honor.
```

```
1
                MR. LORENZEN: Thank you, your Honor.
 2
                THE COURT: Um-h'm.
 3
                MR. RICHARDSON: Thank you.
 4
                THE CLERK: All rise.
           (Court concluded at 02:49:00 p.m.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
 1
 2
       from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in
 3
       the above-entitled matter.
 4
 5
       /s/ Lisa L. Cline
                                                  12/22/10
       Lisa L. Cline, Transcriptionist
                                                    Date
 8
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```